

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**  
8

9 D.F.,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 Tucson Unified School District,

13 Defendant.  
14


No. CV-23-00168-TUC-RCC

**ORDER**

15 On July 25, 2023, the Court issued an Order requiring Plaintiff to obtain counsel  
16 within 30 days given that, as the minor's parent, Plaintiff could not appear pro se to  
17 represent his son's interests under the IDEA. (Doc. 17.) The Court explained that "if an  
18 attorney does not make an appearance in this matter within the 30 days, the Court will  
19 dismiss the action for failure to have counsel." (*Id.* at 2 (citing *Pedraza v. Alameda*  
20 *Unified Sch. Dist.*, 676 F. App'x 704, 706 (9th Cir. 2017) ("The district court did not err  
21 in dismissing M.P.'s individual claims for failure to have counsel.")).) As of this date, no  
22 counsel has made an appearance on behalf of Plaintiff nor has Plaintiff filed anything  
23 requesting an extension. Accordingly,

24 **IT IS ORDERED** that this matter is **DISMISSED**. The Clerk of Court shall  
25 docket accordingly.

26 Dated this 29th day of August, 2023.

27  
28 

Honorable Raner C. Collins  
Senior United States District Judge